Some thoughts about the ECB's mandate

1

- 1- Single mandate or dual mandate (or multiple mandate...)
- 2- Interpretation of the mandate: the quantitative definition of price stability

1- Single mandate or dual mandate (or multiple mandate...)?

2

- a) The treaty
 - One primary objective
- b) « Without prejudice... »
 - Secondary mandate? Several objections
- c) What about Financial stability
 - > If about the transmission of MP = in the mandate
- d) What about Climate change

2- The interpretation of the mandate: the quantitative definition of price stability

- A) Price index
- B) Time horizon
- C) Definition of price stability
 - Why 2%, and why « below but close to »?
 - Should the definition of price stability be reviewed?
 - Is there room for a refinement?

Why 2%, and why « below but close to »?

- 1. Legacy
- 2. Common in advanced economies
- 3. Economic reasoning
- 4. Less than 2
- 5. Close to 2

Should the definition of price stability be reviewed?

- 5
- 1. Should the definition be set higher?
- 2. Should the definition be set lower?
- Arguments against changing
- 4. Is there room for a refinement?
 - Make explicit a range (over the cycle) around the same objective?
 - Move to « close to 2 » (not below) with a range ?
 - Move to an average, or targeting an absolute level?