Monetary Policy and Climate Change

Monika Piazzesi Stanford, CEPR & NBER

The ECB and Its Watchers XXII, March 2022

Unconventional monetary policy

- Low interest rate environment
 - main tool for (unconventional) monetary policy: asset purchases
 - government bonds, MBS,.... most recently corporate bonds
 - goal: lower firms' cost of capital, stimulate investment
 - ightarrow Which corporate bonds should central banks buy?
- Conventional view:
 - monetary policy should aim for "market neutrality"
 - no mandate to favor particular firms
 - in practice: bond purchases proportional to bonds outstanding
- Plan for remarks:
 - 1. Current corporate bond holdings by the ECB (Papoutsi, Piazzesi & Schneider 2021)
 - 2. How do asset purchases work?
 - 3. Can asset purchases be market neutral ?
 - 4. Impact on climate and ballpark numbers

Market shares by sector

Dirty Manuf = oil & coke, chemicals, basic metals, nonmetallic minerals



Market portfolio vs ECB portfolio

Dirty Manuf = oil & coke, chemicals, basic metals, nonmetallic minerals



ECB portfolio overweighs sectors with high emissions

Dirty Manuf = oil & coke, chemicals, basic metals, nonmetallic minerals



2. How do asset purchases work?

- Conventional view: asset purchases work by reducing risk premia on assets
 - Gertler & Karadi (2011), Curdia & Woodford (2011), Bernanke (2020)
- From finance, average return on an asset = (low) riskfree rate + risk premium
 - risk premium compensates investors for taking risk
 - business cycle is the main risk factor
 - each asset has its own exposure to this risk factor ('beta of the asset')
 - risk premium = asset's risk exposure × market price of risk
- Asset purchases lower the market price of risk
 - By purchasing assets, central banks take risk off financial intermediaries' balance sheets
 - Intermediaries are more willing to take risk
- $\bullet~$ Lower risk premia on corporate bonds & stocks $\rightarrow~$ more investment

3. Can asset purchases be market neutral?

- <u>Our definition</u>: Market neutral policy leaves firms' relative costs of capital unchanged
 - only macro effects, does not distort market shares of firms in the economy
- Asset purchases lower market price of risk
 - reduces risk premia for firms with higher risk exposure ('higher beta' firms) more
 - riskier firms benefit more from the policy
- ightarrow Asset purchases are not market neutral , except under special conditions

4. Impact on climate and ballpark numbers

- Recent research in empirical finance
 - investors are becoming more and more aware of climate risk (ESG investing)
 - climate risk is a new risk factor
 - firms with higher exposures to climate risk pay substantially higher risk premia Bolton & Kacperczyk (2021, 2022)
- Suppose asset purchases focus on green investments
 - financial intermediaries less willing to hold assets that are more exposed to climate risk
 - higher market price of climate risk
 - higher cost of capital for firms with higher climate risk exposure
- Requires a shift in ECB portfolio towards green investment

4. Impact on climate and ballpark numbers

- Suppose want to implement \$100/tonne carbon tax
- Emission intensity of dirty sectors (energy) about 1 kg/\$ revenue, clean sectors (services) about 0 kg/\$ revenue
- How large would a change in cost of capital have to be? Result by Papoutsi et al (2021)

change in cost of capital = carbon tax × emission intensity × capital depreciation rate = $100 \times 0.001 \times depreciation$ rate = $0.10 \times depreciation$ rate

typical depreciation rate between 0.10 and 0.30, so 3 percentage points

Cost of financing other inputs like labor: higher "depreciation rate"

 \rightarrow capital charges for bank loans to pay for workers in high emission firms